GREENWOOD CITY COUNCIL

May 21, 2007 - 5:36 p.m.

MINUTES

**PRESENT**
Council Members: Mayor Nicholson, Linda Edwards, Betty Boles, Herbert Vaughn, and Johnny Williams; City Manager; Assistant City Manager; City Clerk; City Attorney; Phil Lindler; and Chris Trainor of the Index Journal.

Barbara Turnburke entered the meeting at 6 p.m.

**ABSENT**
Niki Hutto

**CALL TO ORDER**
The meeting in Council Chambers was called to order by Mayor Nicholson at 5:34 p.m. and he welcomed everyone to the meeting.

The Mayor then gave the invocation.

**STATEMENT AND QUORUM**
Mayor Nicholson read the following statement, “In accordance with the Freedom of Information Act, Chapter 4, Title 30, Code of Laws of South Carolina, 1976, an agenda has been posted on the front door of City Hall and notification of this meeting has been given to the news media.”

The Mayor then asked the City Clerk, Steffanie Dorn, if a quorum was present. She said, “Yes, Mr. Mayor, we have a quorum present.”

**APPROVAL OF CONSENT AGENDA**
Mayor Nicholson asked for a motion to approve the consent agenda.

Motion to approve the consent agenda was made by Johnny Williams, seconded by Herbert Vaughn.

The Mayor asked, “Are there any questions or corrections? All in favor raise your right hand. So moved.”
Motion passed unanimously.

RECOGNIZE
Under public appearances, Mayor Nicholson recognized Mr. Clifton P. Kesterson.

Mr. Clifton P. Kesterson of 507 Norwood Avenue.

CLIFTON KESTERSON
“Ladies and gentlemen of the Council and City Manager, I’d like to address you about the rewording and enforcement change of Ordinance 10-25. I have had to call the Building Inspection Department every year for the last five years of the next door neighbor’s overgrowth. Because of the way the ordinance is written, it allows the violator two weeks to correct the violation. If it is not corrected, a summons will, or can, be issued. The court date depends on the court docket schedule, which could be two to four weeks. This has happened in 2005 and the violator waited until two days before the court date to have the weeds and vines cut for the Building Department to lift the summons.

I urge you to change the ordinance to read, ‘This will be the only violation notice that you will ever receive as long as you own the property. Instead of a violation notice hereafter, you will receive a summons to appear in court and this summons will not be lifted. You must appear in court.’ The violations should be made known by putting names, fines and amounts in the newspaper as it was once done for noise and speeding violations. After a few fines are assessed, word will be out that the ‘kid gloves’ are off and you mean business. Believe me, you will have a better neighborhood, a lower workload in the Building Inspection Office, well kept neighborhoods, property values will increase and the Chamber of Commerce will have an easier time convincing newcomers to move into the City. That’s about it.”

MAYOR NICHOLSON
“Okay. I want to thank you, Mr. Kesterson. We will accept this as information and look into it for you.”

CLIFTON KESTERSON
“I would certainly appreciate it because I’ve been fighting this for five years now. How many more years will I have to do this?”

JOHNNY WILLIAMS
“She still doesn’t cut it any better than she has been?”

CLIFTON KESTERSON
“That’s right. I don’t know how high the weeds would’ve been if we had a normal, wet spring.
Right now, they’re approaching the top of the fence.”

MAYOR NICHOLSON  “Okay. Thank you, Mr. Kesterson.”

LINDA EDWARDS  “Correct me if I’m wrong, but don’t the letters state that a violator will receive this letter only once and there will be a fine for the next violation?”

STEVEN BROWN  “Yes ma’am.”

JOHNNY WILLIAMS  “That’s once a year though, isn’t it?”

STEVEN BROWN  “That’s correct.”

JOHNNY WILLIAMS  “He wants a continued letter. He doesn’t want anymore letters to go to that person from that point on. In other words, this particular piece of property that he’s talking about has to do with a certain amount of grass.”

LINDA EDWARDS  “I understand that, but doesn’t the letter already state that we will not send a violator another letter within the year? If we write them about their overgrowth and they clean it up, we will not send another letter anymore. The next time, we are sending a summons or bringing you to court. Doesn’t the letter already say that?”

STEVEN BROWN  “It does. This is a dispute between two neighbors over a 10’ strip that runs between two properties at the Greenwood Mill Village. There are two fences that create a 10’ of ‘No Man’s Land’. It’s my opinion that Mr. Kesterson’s neighbor, who’s is in her 80’s, owns this 10’ of land. We finally got the woman to spray it with Roundup and then Mr. Kesterson took her to small claims court because he demanded compensation for the grass that the herbicide killed on his property. The Magistrate’s Office threw the small claims case out of court because it was without merit.

It’s just difficult when you have no cooperation.”

BETTY BOLES  “Has anyone talked with her?”

STEVEN BROWN  “Yes, ma’am. I’ve probably talked to her about 50 times and I’m not exaggerating. I’ve gotten letters and phone calls. I’m not taking sides, but Mr. Kesterson tries hard.”

BETTY BOLES  “I was just wondering if any agreement can be made whereas, if he’s willing to cut it for her, would she be willing to compensate him for doing it on a regular basis?”

STEVEN BROWN  “I think that she doesn’t want him on her property.”

JOHNNY WILLIAMS  “She doesn’t own the house by herself. There are about four or five of them that owns the house. The spot of grass is 22 inches of space. In other words, she can pull the lawnmower up the side and cut that little 22’ but she won’t do it.”

STEVEN BROWN  “It would take five minutes to correct this problem, literally. It is that small amount of area.”

LINDA EDWARDS  “But in other words, she’s saying that 10’ strip is not hers when it comes to getting rid of the overgrowth?”

STEVEN BROWN  “Yes, ma’am. I don’t have the history of this year’s complaints. He’s not just a complainer, but he’s probably been in my office 10 or 12 times about this problem over five years.”

LINDA EDWARDS  “Does this letter go to all four owners since it’s a joint ownership?”

JOHNNY WILLIAMS  “If you talk to that lady, Ms. Edwards, I’ll guarantee that you’ll never go back.”

LINDA EDWARDS  “I’ll take your word for it.”

STEVEN BROWN  “The ordinance says that we can hold the person who lives in the house responsible and that’s what we’ve tried to do. She lives in the house.”

LINDA EDWARDS  “Maybe we need to be corresponding with somebody else.”

STEVEN BROWN  “We’ve tried that but it’s been to no avail, unless it’s children, grandchildren or somebody like that.”

MAYOR NICHOLSON  “Thank you, Mr. Brown.”
CONSIDER

Ordinance No. 07-012 Amending the City of Greenwood’s Official Zoning Map (Ordinance No. 04-020) by Rezoning Property (Approximately 0.29 Acres) Located at 134 Wells Avenue, from GC (General Commercial) to PDD (Planned Development).

(2nd reading)

Under unfinished business, Mayor Nicholson read the second item and asked, “I think this is the property owned by the hospital, right Mr. Brown?”

STEVEN BROWN “Yes, sir.”

MAYOR NICHOLSON “Okay. Do I have a motion?”

Motion was made by Linda Edwards, seconded by Johnny Williams.

“Are there any questions or discussion? All in favor raise your right hand. So moved.”

Motion passed unanimously.

- attach -
CONSIDER

Mayor Nicholson read the second item and asked for a motion.

Ordinance No. 07-015 Amending Ordinance 03-010, Zoning Ordinance, Chapter 2, Article 3, Definitions, and Chapter 3, Zoning District Regulations, Section 3.2.1.4., Accessory Uses Allowed in All Residential Districts.

(2nd reading)

LINDA EDWARDS “Is this the one about shipping containers?”

MAYOR NICHOLSON “Yes. Do I have a motion?”

Motion was made by Johnny Williams, seconded by Betty Boles.

“Is there any further discussion? All in favor raise your right hand. So moved.”

Motion passed unanimously.

- attach -
CONSIDER Ordinance No. 07-016 Providing for the Issuance and Sale from Time to Time of One or More Series of Combined Public Utility System Revenue Bonds of the City of Greenwood, South Carolina, Including Improvement Revenue Bonds in the Principal Amount of Not Exceeding Ten Million Dollars ($10,000,000), and Refunding Revenue Bonds in the Principal Amount of Not Exceeding Eight Million Dollars ($8,000,000); and Other Matters Relating Thereto.

(1st reading)

STEVEN BROWN "Mr. Mayor, we have Mr. Monaghan and General Manager, Steve Reeves, from the Commission and their attorney, Mr. Galloway, here."

ROBERT GALLOWAY "Thank you, Mr. Brown. Mr. Mayor and Members of Council, I’m Robert Galloway with the law firm of Haynsworth, Sinkler, Boyd in Greenville; the bond counselors for the Commissioners of Public Works. Some of you may be
familiar with this process. This ordinance would involve first reading to approve a bond issue, or potentially two bond issues, that the City would undertake on behalf of the Commissioners of Public Works. These bonds are payable only from the revenues derived from the operation of the Commission of Public Works. They’re not payable in any respect from the General Fund or otherwise from assets of the City.

The two potential issues are they could be combined into one. The first is up to $10 million for various projects or improvements to the various water, electric and gas systems of the Commission. That is what we refer to as ‘New Money Bonds’ or new construction. The varied list of projects is in your ordinance and it basically covers various capital leads of the Commissioners that they have over the next couple of years. The other possibility is a refinancing not exceeding $8 million to refinance some 1998 bonds if interest rates warrant. So this approval will involve one issue combining both of these, or potentially two separate issues, but in either case not exceeding $10 million of new borrowing and not exceeding $8 million to refinance existing indebtedness.

There are other details in the ordinance with respect to the absolute final maturity, interest rates and those kinds of things. By and large this ordinance, after it has received second reading, delegates to the Commissioners of Public Works to proceed with the bond issue, or issues, to designate or select the actual interest rate, the underwriter of the bonds, the bond insurance and various details. Basically, this ordinance will authorize those Commissioners, as required under State Law, to proceed with the bond issue. I repeat they are payable only from the revenues of the Commissioners of Public Works.

I’ll be glad to answer any questions you might have, as would Mr. Monaghan and Mr. Reeves.”

MAYOR NICHOLSON “Okay. Does anyone have any questions for Mr. Galloway, Mr. Monaghan or Mr. Reeves? Okay. Thank you, Mr. Galloway. Mike, Steve, do either one of you have any additional comments?”

STEVE REEVES “Mr. Mayor and Members of the Council, I’m simply here to answer any questions you may have. At the work session Council had a couple of weeks ago, Mr. Barnett and Mr. Monaghan presented you a list of projects that we anticipate to cover with this bond issue.
I believe you will find, if you review the list again, it’s all in the name of enhancing the system and making it more reliable than it currently is and providing for growth, particularly on the electric system and substation. We’ve seen that type growth in the City and we have to prepare for it. It’s not something you can build in just a matter of days and weeks but something you have to plan for in the future.

These are addressing our ten-year, long range plans. We’re at a time in the market where we’re able to get pretty much of what we’re told is the bottom of the market. So we’re looking at very low interest rates and would like to proceed in that direction. I’ll be glad to answer any questions you may have.”

MAYOR NICHOLSON “Okay. Alright. Thanks, Steve.”

STEVEN BROWN “Mr. Mayor, I would point out to City Council that A-1 is a list of projects in the ordinance. If anybody certainly has any questions, I’m sure Mr. Monaghan, Steve and any of his people could be at your work session to answer any questions prior to your public hearing and second reading. So, if you so desire, you can inquire about any particular project but it looks like an exhaustive list noting the intend projects.”

MAYOR NICHOLSON “Okay. Thank you, Mr. Brown. Do I have a motion?”

Motion was made by Johnny Williams, seconded by Herbert Vaughn.

“Is there any further discussion? This is first reading and prior to the second reading next month we will advertise it as a public hearing. If we have any citizens from the community who would like to speak for or against it, they will be allowed to do so.

All in favor raise your right hand. So moved.”

Motion passed unanimously.
CONSIDER

Resolution 07-004 Annexing Property (Roadways) Owned by the City of Greenwood Located at the Corner of Mathis Road and Highway 72 Bypass West (Corley Development).

STEVEN BROWN “Mr. Mayor and City Council Members, as part of a prior annexation agreement that you have approved, the City now owns this roadway that is outside of the City. State Law declares that, if the area to be annexed is wholly owned by the municipality, you can annex it by resolution and not by ordinance. Since the City owns the roadway, we want it inside of the City. It also gives us contiguity to some of the other properties. As these lots are developed and annexed into the City, we never know which one will be annexed first.”

MAYOR NICHOLSON “Okay. Do I have a motion?”

Motion was made by Betty Boles, seconded by Linda Edwards.

“Are there any questions or further discussion? All in favor raise your right hand. So moved.”

Motion passed unanimously.

- attach -
CONSIDER

Mayor Nicholson read the third item and recognized the City Manager.

Ordinance No. 07-017
Annexing Approximately 0.82 Acres off Bypass 72 West.

(1st reading)

STEVEN BROWN

“It’s a part of the same annexation agreement. It was agreed that, if certain incentives would be provided to the developers, they would annex parcels within this tract. The first parcel that’s been developed is the site of Advance Auto Parts. This property is leased by Advance but is owned by Howard Corley and his family. The developer signed the petition asking it to be annexed into the City.”

MAYOR NICHOLSON

“Okay. Do I have a motion?”

Motion was made by Linda Edwards, seconded by Johnny Williams.

“Is there any discussion? Again, prior to second reading, you’ll have a public hearing at the next meeting which will be in June. All in favor raise your right hand. So moved.”

The motion passed unanimously.

- attach -

Barbara Turnburke entered the meeting at 6 p.m. and did not vote.
CONSIDER

Mayor Nicholson read the fourth item and recognized the City Manager.

Ordinance No. 07-018 Annexing 186.40 Acres Owned by the Genetic Endowment of South Carolina.

(1st reading)

STEVEN BROWN

“Mr. Mayor and Council, we had prepared an annexation petition at the request of Dr. Stevenson and the Genetic Endowment. While we anticipated receiving an executed Petition for Annexation from the Genetic Endowment, we were informed the board met last Friday afternoon and, it did not occur. We haven’t had any feedback. We would ask that you not take any action on this item tonight.”

MAYOR NICHOLSON

“Okay. Do I have a motion that we delete item Number 4?”

A motion to delete item Number 4, was made by Linda Edwards, seconded by Betty Boles.

“All in favor raise your right hand. So moved.”

Motion passed unanimously.

---

CONSIDER

Mayor Nicholson read the fifth item and recognized the City Manager.

Entering into an Agreement with GLEAMNS Regarding the Magic Johnson Empowerment Center.

STEVEN BROWN

“Mr. Mayor, I would ask Mr. Barrineau to explain this.”
CHARLES BARRINEAU

"Mr. Mayor and Council, we’ve been asked by the Department of Commerce to basically put in writing what you’ve already approved. If you recall, you’ve accepted $420,000 in grants for the Magic Johnson project which the City administers through the Department of Commerce. Commerce has now asked that we have an agreement with GLEAMNS. It’s what we’re already doing so I would ask for your consideration of approval."

MAYOR NICHOLSON

"Okay. Do I have a motion?"

Motion was made by Betty Boles, seconded by Herbert Vaughn.

"Is there any discussion? All in favor raise your right hand. So moved."

The motion passed unanimously.

- attach -

CONSIDER

Mayor Nicholson read the sixth item and recognized the City Manager.

Accepting into the City of Greenwood Road System Portions of Internal Roadways Located in the Greenwood Commons.

STEVEN BROWN

"Mr. Mayor, Mr. Lawrence is here to make a request to the City."

BEN LAWRENCE

"Mr. Mayor and Members of Council, these are the right-of-ways behind Hardees, the Pier One Building, the Beef O’Brady’s building and in front of the 12 acres of vacant land (pointing). In front is a strip that the Fairfield Inn owns, McAllister’s Deli and REI Cinemas (pointing). I have letters from three of those property owners appointing me as an agent to make this request. I’ve
also talked to the REI Cinemas owner. Rick Rob owns the land but has leased the cinemas to someone else. Both have agreed verbally to authorize me to make this request but must have a written document between them so that will be coming.

We own the 12 acres where Greenwood Commons is written out and have it under contract. It is getting real close to getting a strip center in there. We got six big boxes that are planning to go there and are now jockeying for a position. Without one key big box, nothing else will happen. One of the smaller big boxes have concerns about those right-of-ways across the easements being in private hands. They’re requesting that the City extend the road behind the County Bank branch through to Commons Drive, in addition to Cinema Drive and another drive beside Pier One into the City system.

Of course we’re already in the City but this will facilitate these big boxes coming. I’m sure that the development of these big boxes will probably represent $15 million or more in investments so we’re requesting that it be included. These are 30’, not 50’, right-of-ways but it’s consistent. It’s an exception to the City Ordinance, but that exception was made behind the County Bank. We’re just asking that you extend it.

Are there any questions?”

MAYOR NICHOLSON “Steve, did you have something?”

STEVEN BROWN “I know that in the past there have been concerns by other major retail business people about private drives. Naturally, they’re going to spend $millions and want to be insured that somebody who owns a piece of property cannot block their business. While we don’t think this would happen, that is always a consideration. Half of the road is owned by one owner and the other half is owned by another. This agreement will clarify that issue.

I would recommend that City Council authorize acceptance of this road based upon the following conditions. I have identified some maintenance that needs to be done on these roads. I have a report from the City Engineer that there are broken curbs, cracks in the pavement, and “alligatoring”. I’ve also talked with Mr. Lawrence about the possibility of changing the radius on some of the curbs. We would ask you to accept it on the condition that he would make these needed improvements, submit to us at their expense a deed to the roadway and a three year letter of credit to City Council.
If there are any needed repairs within the three years, then either they would repair it or the City would have means to go against their letter of credit. I don’t think we can ask of any more from the developers involved. These are really your requirements if it was a brand new road and they have agreed to do so. The acceptance of the roads will clarify a lot of confusion in this area.

I have no problem with the 30’ of right-of-way, to be honest with you. If we were to get that additional 20’ right-of-way then we are responsible for its maintenance. In an area like this, that additional 20’ is expensive property that they can used to develop and make a profit. If it was a main thoroughfare, I would be more concerned. I would ask that you consider accepting the roads upon the stated conditions and authorize us to work with Mr. Lawrence and the other developers once the conditions are met.”

LINDA EDWARDS “Is this the road that comes through Lowe’s and all those other little chain stores?”

STEVEN BROWN “Yes, ma’am. Now, please understand that when we get to Commons Drive, we don’t own any of the roads from there to Mathis Road (pointing). They will remain private. We already have Commons Drive, which is a City road. We’re asking you to pick up Cinema Drive and this unnamed road from the Bypass into this road here (pointing) up to where we have Mr. Zimmerman’s property. We’re trying to find a name for both of these roads, but please understand that City maintenance would stop here and all of this will continue to be private.”

BETTY BOLES “So it will extend to Calhoun Road?”

STEVEN BROWN “It will extend all the way to Calhoun Road, back to Commons Drive and out to the Bypass from these three areas.”

BEN LAWRENCE “The developers who have the 12 acres also have a contract on the lot to the right of Old Navy and plan to put another big box there. It really won’t really affect this but there is going to be a lot of activity in that area.”

BETTY BOLES “How will the big boxes affect the Fairfield Inn entrance? Right now, there is only one way in and out without an exit.”
BEN LAWRENCE  “The Fairfield Inn actually owns where they are now. They also own that strip of land going to the hotel and is one of the people who have authorized me as their agent. They have a little strip of land that’s 30’ wide where it’s attached to the other road, so they’re a part of this and it wouldn’t affect them.”

MAYOR NICHOLSON  “Okay. Are there any more questions for Mr. Lawrence?

I think we have a recommendation to authorize the City Manager to enter into an agreement with them depending upon the stated conditions.

Do I have a motion?”

Motion was made by Johnny Williams, seconded by Barbara Turnburke.

“Is there any further discussion? All in favor raise your right hand? So moved.”

Motion passed unanimously.

RECEIVE

Mayor Nicholson read the last item and recognized the City Manager.

Report from Staff on Historical Overlay in the “Old Greenwood Neighborhood”, South Carolina.

STEVEN BROWN  “I’ll ask Phil to present you with this, Mr. Mayor.”

PHIL LINDLER  “Thank you, Mr. Mayor and Members of Council. I wanted to update you on a request that we’ve received from City of Greenwood residents that refer to themselves as the ‘Old Greenwood Village’. This area is basically along East Cambridge from Workman’s Restaurant, up to Main Street Methodist and First Presbyterian Churches onto Jennings, Blyth, Grace, Cothran, and other streets that are mostly residential in character.
For a number of years, our office had a number of inquiries from residents or property owners in that area about different uses, whether they be commercial, residential, standards of conversion of older homes to multi-family, office and professional and general commercial uses. The residents sent property owners, within that area, invitations to a community meeting on May 6th in which Mr. Brown and I attended. I thought it was a very good meeting.

Just from the general discussion, they’ve gathered a lot of items that have happened in their neighborhood and were just trying to identify some things the City can do to assist them with the future development of their community. They would like to work on a possible historic overlay for our section of the City. They identified around seven to 10 individuals to form a small committee that met again on May 10th and I was invited to that meeting as well. I have been working with them to gather some standards similar to what you have put together already for the City’s Emerald Triangle in the Uptown area.

This particular item, requested by the citizens within the area, has been forwarded to the Board of Architectural Review (BAR). The BAR had a meeting last Wednesday but didn’t take any action on the item. There wasn’t a public notice of anything so they took the request as information. Once the BAR has some idea from the community as to a specific area and standards they wanted to identify, then they will feel more comfortable releasing that information to the public for comments from the property owners about the proposal.

Just to give you a little bit of information of the process, if this moves forward, this item will be reviewed by the Architectural Board Review at their June meeting. They will forward a recommendation to the Planning Commission if they have everything together by that time. The Planning Commission, once they receive a recommendation from the Board of Architectural Review, will then review it and make a recommendation to you. We’re hopeful that you would be able to have first reading as early as July on this issue if they are able to make a recommendation.

Are there any questions?”

MAYOR NICHOLSON “Okay. Thank you for that update, Phil. Mr. Brown, do you have anything else to add to that?”
STEVEN BROWN  “No, sir, not to that.”

MAYOR NICHOLSON  “Okay.”

CITY COUNCIL COMMENTS
Mayor Nicholson asked if there were any comments from City Council.

LINDA EDWARDS  “Did I understand Phil to say that the Board of Architectural Review will meet with the residents of that area in June to come up with their plan?”

PHIL LINDLER  “They will review the proposal and send letters to individuals for comments. They will then have a public hearing on the issue to receive any other comments.”

LINDA EDWARDS  “Okay.”

CITY MANAGER COMMENTS
Mayor Nicholson asked if there were any comments from the City Manager.

STEVEN BROWN  “Mr. Mayor, we have received a request from Piedmont Technical College city to permit some of their instructors to use our gun/pistol range. As you recall, we have an agreement with the Commissioners of Public Works allowing the City to use a small portion of the old City Pond Property to train our Police Officers for re-qualification. Piedmont Technical College is beginning a gunsmithing course in the Fall and, while they will not be qualifying with pistols as we do, they need an area to test the guns I assume that they have worked on. I don’t think it would be a huge use.

If Piedmont Technical College provides liability protection naming the City and the Commissioners of Public Works as an insured entity, we feel comfortable allowing them to use it. The Chief of Police does not believe it will prevent him from training. We would ask the City Attorney to review all agreements before execution. We will proceed to develop that document for your final approval.”

MAYOR NICHOLSON  “Okay. Are there any questions?”

STEVEN BROWN  “The other matter I wanted to talk to you about is a resolution draft that I have given you.
As you may recall, County Council passed a resolution stating the conditions upon which they would accept the Grace Street Water Plant property as offered by the Commissioners through the City.

I don’t want to speak on your behalf but I think we had some problems with the resolution conditions. I know that the Commissioners of Public Works had some concerns because they asked the City Attorney and our staff to meet with their attorney and staff to develop a revised resolution addressing the concerns we both had. Mr. Welch can speak to his review but he has told me that it addresses your concerns. The attorney from the CPW feels that the Commissioners will be acceptable to those terms also.

While I’m not asking you to take any action, I just wanted you to know how this resolution was developed. I’ll be glad to answer any questions. Mr. Welch and Mr. Monaghan can speak to it. We just wanted to keep you informed in case somebody from County Council should possibly ask you any questions.”

JOHNNY WILLIAMS  “Mike, are you all going to maintain it? Somebody’s got to keep it up if the County and City isn’t!”

STEVEN BROWN  “Mr. Williams, the County will enter into an intergovernmental agreement with the City and the CPW in which the County agrees to accept the property in ‘as is’ condition and assume full and complete responsibility for all operations, maintenance, repairs and improvements of the property. It was one of the conditions that I had heard from you and others that we addressed in this agreement.”

STEVE WELCH  “Literally, everything we talked about that needed to fall into place, in terms of maintaining the property, is covered in this agreement and the City will not have any responsibility.”

JOHNNY WILLIAMS  “If not, I’ll come back after you on it!”

MAYOR NICHOLSON  “Okay. Thank you all. The meeting is adjourned.”

ATTEST:  

Floyd Nicholson, Mayor

City Clerk and Treasurer